

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/531,918	04/19/2005	Ignio Longo	05054	3830
23338 DENINISON S	7590 01/18/2008 SCHULTZ & MACDON	AT D	EXAMINER	
DENNISON, SCHULTZ & MACDONALD 1727 KING STREET			COHEN, LEE S	
SUITE 105 ALEXANDRI	A. VA 22314		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3739	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/18/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
٠.						
		10/531,918	LONGO ET AL			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Lee S. Cohen	3739			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failur Any r	CRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA Isions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time  iill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 No	ovember 2007.	•			
,	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3)□	•					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	х рапе Quayle, 1935 С.D. 11, 45	03 U.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>5-7 and 9</u> is/are pending in the applicated of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>5-7 and 9</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accent applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). .jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) a)(	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document:  2. Certified copies of the priority document:  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document:  application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
•						
2) Notice 3) Infor	ct(s)  De of References Cited (PTO-892)  De of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  The mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  De No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	eate			

10/531,918 Art Unit: 3739

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5-7 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Longo (7,113,832) in view of Stadlmayr (Germany 2,124,684). Longo discloses the basic microwave device including an antenna and needle. The reference fails to disclose a needle with a sharp tip and side opening. Stadlmayr discloses an electrode treatment device including a needle having a sharp tip with side ports to permit electrodes to laterally displace from the axis of the needle. Although plural needles are disclosed, Figure 7 shows the needle can include only a single side port. Given this teaching, it would have been obvious to the skilled artisan to use such a needle with the Longo antenna to allow lateral displacement to effect superior treatment. The use of a mandrel to effect tissue penetration prior to entry of a treatment electrode or antenna is well known in the art and would have been obvious to the skilled artisan to expedite insertion. Accordingly, the formation of kit, including the mandrel and microwave device, would have been predictable to the skilled artisan.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments have been considered but fail to be persuasive. The fact that

Stadlmayr employs a different electrode to effect treatment does not detract from its teaching of
employing the particular needle structure to direct a treatment device to its intended point of use.

Applicant's intended use of the needle for rotating so as to effect treatment of a larger volume

Application/Control Number:

10/531,918

Art Unit: 3739

fails to be patentably significant. A method is not being claimed. Treatment of a defined location is well known in the art and to use the needle of Stadlmayr to place the antenna of Longo would have been obvious since a predictable result would ensue.

## Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lee S. Cohen whose telephone number is 571-272-4763. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:00-3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda Dvorak can be reached on 571-272-4764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number:

10/531,918 Art Unit: 3739

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Lee S. Cohen Primary Examiner Art Unit 3739

LSC January 16, 2008